Case 1:18-cv-12329 UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF	T COUF	₹Т	2 File	DOCUMENT ELECTRONIC DOC #: DATE FILED:	ALLY FILED
SHANGGANG FENG,		: :		18-CV-1232	9 (RWL)
	Plaintiff,	:		ORDER	
- against -		:			
KELAI CORP., et al.		:			
	Defenda	int. :			

ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER, United States Magistrate Judge.

This order resolves two related requests at Dkt. 99 and 104.

Plaintiff requests leave, post-trial, to amend the caption of the case to reflect the true names of Defendants "Lan Doe" and "Qin Doe," neither of whom appeared in the case. (Dkt. 99.) As the appearing Defendants point out, and Plaintiff concedes, Plaintiff has known of the Doe defendants' true names since depositions were taken in July 2020. (See Dkt. 99 at 1, Dkt. 100 at 1.) Further, the deadline for amending the pleadings expired in 2019. (See Dkts. 6, 19.) Because Plaintiff's request to amend comes after that deadline has passed, Plaintiff must demonstrate good cause to amend pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). To demonstrate good cause, Plaintiff must show that he was diligent in pursuing amendment. Having learned of the relevant information in July 2020, before the close of discovery, and having waited to move to amend until after trial in 2023, Plaintiff cannot demonstrate good cause. Accordingly, and even though the Court has the discretion to permit amendment eve in the absence of good cause, the request to amend is denied.

In their letter at Dkt. 104, Defendants claim that Plaintiff has attempted to evade the pleading issue by having filed a certificate of default against the defaulting defendants

that include their true names via "a/k/a" designations. The Court does not deem the

events – motion to amend the Complaint and providing "a/k/a" on the certificates of default

- equivalent, even if they achieve a similar result in this instance. Affidavits of service

on the two defaulting defendants were filed at the outset of this case on January 24, 2019.

(Dkts. 10-11.) The Court finds no undue prejudice about, or any other reason to strike,

identification of the defendants in the certificate of default as Plaintiff has done based on

undisputed evidence in the record. Accordingly, Defendants' request to strike the

certificate of default is denied.

SO ORDERED.

ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated:

December 7, 2023

New York, New York

Copies transmitted to all counsel of record.

2